

James A. Hennefer (SBN 059490)
 HENNEFER, FINLEY & WOOD LLP
 425 California Street, 19th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94104-2296
 Telephone: (415) 421-6100
 jhennefer@hennefer-wood.com

Maxwell M. Blecher (SBN 026202)
 Donald R. Pepperman (SBN 109809)
 BLECHER & COLLINS, P.C.
 515 S. Figueroa, Suite 1750
 Los Angeles, CA 90071
 Telephone: (213) 622-4222
 mblecher@blechercollins.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GLOBAL SERVICES, LLC , a Texas Corporation; SIMILE IMAGING SOLUTIONS, a North Carolina Corporation; WOOD BUSINESS SYSTEMS , a Florida Corporation; NEW ENGLAND COPY SPECIALISTS, INC., a Massachusetts Corporation; RAY MORGAN COMPANY, a California Corporation; DOCUMATION OF AUSTIN, INC., a Texas Corporation; DOCUMATION OF EAST TEXAS, INC., a Texas Corporation; DOCUMATION OF NORTH TEXAS, INC., a Texas Corporation; DOCUMATION OF SAN ANTONIO, INC., a Texas Corporation; COPYTEX, INC., a Connecticut Corporation; and ASI BUSINESS SOLUTIONS, LTD, a Texas Corporation

Plaintiffs

vs.

IKON OFFICE SOLUTIONS, INC., an Ohio Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC CAPITAL CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation d/b/a IKON FINANCIAL SERVICES,

Defendants

CASE NO. CV 10-5974 JSW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER ON STIPULATION RE
 EXTENSION OF BRIEFING SCHEDULE
 FOR DEFENDANTS' RULE 12(b)(6)
 MOTION TO DISMISS**

Hearing Date: September 23, 2011
 Time: 9:00 a.m.
 Place: Courtroom 11, 19th Floor
 Judge: Honorable Jeffrey S. White

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, defendants filed their Joint Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Time-Barred RICO and Antitrust Claims Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) ("Motion to Dismiss") on July 8, 2011;

WHEREAS, plaintiffs' opposition to the Motion to Dismiss, under Local Rule 7-3, as amended effective June 2, 2011, is due on July 22, 2011;

WHEREAS, plaintiffs' lead counsel on this matter, James A. Hennefer as of the noticing of the Motion to Dismiss had a trial set for July 18, 2011 and hearing on July 20, 2011 which conflicted with preparation of the opposition to the Motion to Dismiss;

WHEREAS, counsel for plaintiffs have requested that the date for opposition to the Motion to Dismiss be continued ten (10) days, from July 22, 2011 to August 1, 2011, which is acceptable to defendants;

WHEREAS, defendants and plaintiffs have agreed that, if the Court extends the time for plaintiffs' opposition to the Motion to Dismiss, defendants' reply to plaintiffs' opposition may be continued to August 19, 2011;

WHEREAS, there have been no requests for continuance of the briefing on the Motion to Dismiss;

WHEREAS, no continuance of the hearing date for the Motion to Dismiss is requested in this stipulation;

WHEREAS, if the continuances in the briefing schedule are granted, the matter would be fully briefed on August 19, 2011, well in advance of the first case management conference in this case, set for September 2, 2011, and well in advance of the hearing date for the Motion to Dismiss, set for September 23, 2011.

NOW THEREFORE the parties to this action hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

1. Plaintiffs' opposition to the Motion to Dismiss, currently due on July 22, 2011 shall be due on August 1, 2011; and
2. Defendants' reply to plaintiffs' opposition to the Motion to Dismiss shall be due on August 19, 2011.

1 **IT IS SO STIPULATED**

2
3 Dated: July 21, 2011

HENNEFER, FINLEY & WOOD LLP

4
5 By /s/ James A. Hennefer
James A. Hennefer
6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7
8 Dated: July 21, 2011

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

9
10 By /s/ Holly A. House
Holly A. House
11 Attorneys for Defendant IKON

12 Dated: July 21, 2011


MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

13
14 By /s/ Joseph D. Lee
Joseph D. Lee
15 Attorneys fo Defendant GECC
16

17
18 **ORDER**

19 Good cause appearing therefore, **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20
21 Dated: July 22, 2011

22
23 
Honorable Jeffrey S. White
United States District Judge